

Message

From: Jeng, Cy@DTSC [Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 4/4/2016 11:49:40 PM
To: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC [Maryam.Tasnif-abbasi@dtsc.ca.gov]
CC: Wilson, Patrick [Wilson.Patrick@epa.gov]
Subject: Tomorrow's schedule

FYI, I have an open house in Colton to attend tomorrow evening and will work from home in the morning. Please call my cell Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) if you need to reach me.

CY

From: Jeng, Cy@DTSC
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2016 10:29 AM
To: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC
Cc: Wilson, Patrick
Subject: RE: Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

As requested, please review the draft before I send it to Tim.

Patrick, your feedback is also welcome and greatly appreciated.

CY

From: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 11:16 AM
To: Jeng, Cy@DTSC
Cc: Reese, Timothy@DTSC
Subject: Re: Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

Cy, can you double check the air monitoring plan? I wonder if that is what is being referred to.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 1, 2016, at 10:52 AM, Jeng, Cy@DTSC <Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov> wrote:

Tim,

Can you find out from Mr. Bailey which "site consultant" and document where this PM10 action level was listed? This value is very low, and more information is needed before coming up with a response.

Thanks, CY

From: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 10:30 AM
To: Reese, Timothy@DTSC
Cc: Jeng, Cy@DTSC
Subject: Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

Tim I included the links below. CY, could you take a look at the first question and respond? Thanks.

Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

On Mar 30, 2016, at 5:45 PM, Reese, Timothy@DTSC <Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov> wrote:

Tim

Begin forwarded message:

From: <investhazmat@aol.com>
Date: March 30, 2016 at 5:32:37 PM PDT
To: <Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov>, <ana.mascarenas@dtsc.ca.gov>, <arsenio.mataka@calepa.ca.gov>
Cc: <cmacduff@PE.com>, <penny.n@ccaej.org>, <jean.k@ccaej.org>, <msoubirous@riversideca.gov>, <jburnard@riversideca.gov>, <pdavis@riversideca.gov>, <liza@consumerwatchdog.org>, <kevindaw@aol.com>, <regaffairs@aol.com>
Subject: Air sampling statement to Cassie Macduff with the Press Enterprise

Dear Mr. Reese:

The site consultant calculated a PM10 action level of 7 ugm/m3 above which would indicate elevated levels of PCBs; onsite air sampling indicated that the action level was exceeded 53 days covering July,2013-January,2014. Please address this issue in writing.

Please provide the complete air sampling plan for the proposed April, 2016 cleanup; this would include PM10 and actual PCB testing. This is time sensitive issue for the community.

You stated that air samples were taken daily and tested for PCBs every day for the first weeks of each cleanup and every week thereafter;" No PCBs were detected". Please provide the site documentation and sample analysis documents for the stated air samples.

Phase 1. Lab Reports.

Phase 2. Lab Reports.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bailey
CCAIEJ Member/Advisor